#### Cognitive Science and Scientific Method

Overview and central concepts

#### What is Cognitive Science?

Cognitive Science studies the mental processes involved in:



How does cognitive science relate to nearby disciplines?

Some speculations...

#### Cognitive Science and Psychology

	Psychology	Cognitive Science
Subject Matter	-Biological intelligence -Focus on action	-Biological + artificial intelligence
Methods	-Behavioral experiments -Naturalistic observation	-Interdisciplinary (linguistics, philosophy, computer science, neuroscience, psychology)
Historical frameworks	Behaviorism	Computationalism

#### Cognitive Science and Neuroscience



#### Representation

### Propositions



#### **Propositional Attitudes**

attitude proposition <u>I was angry that</u> Jason went to the store.

<u>I hope that</u> Jason went to the store.

<u>I believe that</u> Jason went to the store.

### Other Representational Entities: Concepts



### Other Representational Entities: Perceptions





causes

represents

### Representations as Theoretical Entities

<u>Propositional attitudes, concepts, and perceptions</u> are *unobservable*\* phenomena, but <u>cognitive</u> <u>scientists</u> posit them to explain *observable* phenomena, such as <u>behavior and speech patterns</u>.

<u>Elementary particles</u> are *unobservable* phenomena, but <u>physicists</u> posit them to explain *observable* phenomena, such as <u>electromagnetism</u>.

<u>The Big Bang</u> is an *unobservable* phenomena, but <u>cosmologists</u> posit it to explain *observable* phenomena, such as <u>microwave background radiation</u>.

\*Philosophers of science disagree about how to understand the observable/unobservable distinction or whether it is an important distinction at all.

# What should we think about theoretical entities?

**Scientific Realism** - We should believe in the theoretical entities posited by our best scientific theories.

Scientific Instrumentalism (aka Constructive Empiricism) -Science is only in the business of systematizing and explaining observable phenomena. We should only believe what our best scientific theories say about the observable. We should be agnostic about whether the theoretical entities posited by our best scientific theories really exist.





## Mental Representations Are Not That Weird!

They include beliefs, hopes, fears, and experiences of seeing dogs, hearing music, and tasting fruit. You already believe in them!



## **Mental Representations Are** Not That Weird! (Pt 2)

#### **Propositional Attitudes**

The hope that Jason went to the store represents Jason going to the store, and consists of an attitude of hope towards that representation.

The belief that Jason went to the store *represents* Jason going to the store, and consists of an attitude of belief towards that representation.

#### **Perceptions**

The experience of tasting fruit *represents* that fruit, and does so in a particular way (e.g. as being sweet and juicy).

The experience of seeing dogs represents those dogs, and does so in a particular way (e.g. as being fluffy and fast).

#### Mental Representations Mental Representations Non-Believer



Believer

#### **Scientific Method**

### Observation

Plesiosaurs had really long necks.





#### Why did plesiosaurs have such long necks?



## Hypothesis

The long necks were electrogenic organs (as in some eels).

A scientific hypothesis answers a question that was prompted by an observation.



### Prediction

If we scan the interior cavity of a plesiosaur skull, some parts should be disproportionately large, in order to house enlarged brain structures for processing electrical signals.

A scientific hypothesis should (together with some auxiliary assumptions) entail some testable predictions.



### Experiment

Let's CT scan some plesiosaur skulls!

The **experiment** is a test of the **prediction**.



## Verification / Falsification

If our scans do not detect anything anomalous, then our **prediction** is falsified.

If our scans do detect something anomalous, then our **prediction** is verified.

Does this mean the hypothesis is verified/falsified? ...







### **Duhem-Quine Thesis**

A hypothesis entails predictions only together with certain background assumptions. A scientist may react to a false prediction by modifying these assumptions, instead of abandoning the hypothesis.



#### Scientific Explanation



# What makes a good scientific explanation?

Why is there a sandwich?



Because I put it there.

causal explanation

Because there is meat between slices of bread.

metaphysical explanation

# What makes a good scientific explanation? (Pt 2)

**Deductive-nomological model**: scientific explanations deduce the explanandum from premises involving scientific laws\*

- 1. All water freezes below 32 F. \_\_\_\_\_\_ scientific law
- 2. This sample is water.
- This sample freezes below 32F.

explanandum (what's being explained)

# What makes a good scientific explanation? (Pt 3)

**Causal model**: scientific explanations are causal explanations

# What makes a *good* scientific explanation?

Explanandum: My phone falls when I release it.

Explanation A: All objects are governed by gravity.

VS

Explanation B: There are 20 invisible gnomes living on my hand. When I release my phone, they drag it to the ground.

## Virtues of Scientific Explanations

**Simplicity** – how many entities, how many kinds of entities, how many assumptions

**Explanatory power** – how much is explained, are different kinds of phenomena explained, are the predictions novel

**Coherence** – how well does the explanation cohere with other facts and scientific theories